arXiv:1703.02665v1 [math.GT] 8 Mar 2017

Algebraic and topological properties of big mapping class groups

PRIYAM PATEL
NICHOLAS G. VLAMIS

Let S be an orientable, connected, finite-genus surface with infinitely-generated fundamental group and genus at least 4. The main theorem states that the isomorphism type of the pure mapping class group associated to S, denoted PMap(S), detects the homeomorphism type of S. As a corollary, every automorphism of PMap(S) is induced by a homeomorphism, which extends a theorem of Ivanov from the finite-type setting. In the process of proving these results, we show that PMap(S) is residually finite if and only if S has finite genus, demonstrating that the algebraic structure of PMap(S) can distinguish finite- and infinite-genus surfaces. As an independent result, we also show that Map(S) is not residually finite for any infinite-type surface S. In addition, now allowing S to have infinite genus, we give a topological generating set for PMap(S) equipped with the compact-open topology. In particular, if S has at most one end accumulated by genus, then PMap(S) is topologically generated by Dehn twists, otherwise the Dehn twists along with handle shifts topologically generate.

1 Introduction

A surface is of finite type if its fundamental group is finitely generated; otherwise, it is of infinite type. Throughout, all surfaces are assumed to be connected, orientable, and to have compact (possibly empty) boundary.

The *mapping class group*, denoted Map(S), of a surface S is the group of orientation preserving homeomorphisms of S up to isotopy, where we require all homeomorphisms to fix ∂S pointwise. The algebraic and geometric structure of mapping class groups of finite-type surfaces is generally well understood. In contrast, very little is known about *big* mapping class groups, i.e. mapping class groups of infinite-type surfaces.

Big mapping class groups arise naturally from the study of group actions on surfaces (e.g. [12]), taut foliations of 3-manifolds (e.g. [14]), and the Artinization of groups (e.g.

[17, 18]). (See [13] for a detailed discussion of these connections.) There has been a recent trend aimed at understanding infinite-type surfaces and their mapping class groups. For instance, recent work has investigated big mapping class group actions on hyperbolic graphs (e.g. [7, 4, 19]).

This article focuses on the algebraic structure of big mapping class groups. Our methods use the language of topological groups and initiates the study of big mapping class groups in this category. The motivation for our work is a type of algebraic rigidity question for mapping class groups:

Question 1.1 *Does the isomorphism type of* $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ *determine the topology of* S*? In particular, if* $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Map}(S')$*, then are* S *and* S' *homeomorphic?*

Restricting to the finite-type setting, this question has a positive answer. One way to see this is to observe that the rank of the center of $\mathrm{Map}(S)$, the virtual cohomological dimension of $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ [21], and the rank of a maximal abelian subgroup in $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ [11] together determine the genus, number of punctures, and the number of boundary components of S and hence its topological type.

Observe that $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ is countable if and only if S is of finite type. Therefore, the isomorphism type of $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ can distinguish between finite- and infinite-type surfaces. This reduces the question to considering specifically infinite-type surfaces, where little is known. The invariants mentioned for the finite-type setting, with the exception of the rank of the center, are all infinite for big mapping class groups.

In progress towards this question, we change our focus to a natural subgroup of $\mathrm{Map}(S)$. In particular, we will work with the *pure mapping class group*, denoted $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$, consisting of mapping classes acting trivially on the topological ends of S. In this setting we address the same question and provide a partial answer:

Theorem 1 *Let* S *be a surface whose genus is finite and at least 4. If* S' *is a surface, then any isomorphism between* $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ *and* $\mathrm{PMap}(S')$ *is induced by a homeomorphism.*

The *extended* mapping class group, denoted $\mathrm{Map}^{\pm}(S)$, is the degree-2 extension of $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ that includes orientation-reversing mapping classes. Setting $S' = S$ in Theorem 1 yields a generalization of a famous result of Ivanov for finite-type mapping class groups [25]:

Corollary 2 *If* S *is a surface whose genus is finite and at least 4, then the natural monomorphism from* $\mathrm{Map}^{\pm}(S)$ *modulo its center to* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PMap}(S))$ *is an isomorphism.*

An essential aspect of the proof of Theorem 1, just as in Ivanov's work, is to give an algebraic characterization of Dehn twists (Proposition 3.4), which allows us to conclude that an isomorphism of pure mapping class groups must send Dehn twists to Dehn twists. To obtain this characterization, we introduce a non-standard topology τ_w on $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$, described in detail in Section 3. In Corollary 5.4, we discuss the structure of $\mathrm{Out}(\mathrm{PMap}(S))$; in particular, it is isomorphic to the automorphism group of a countable boolean algebra.

Due to Theorem 1, it is natural for one to expect that $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\mathrm{Map}(S)$. If this is the case, then Corollary 2 can be extended to $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ to again match the finite-type setting. We state these as conjectures with the same assumptions as in Theorem 1; however, we expect these conjectures and Corollary 2 to hold in general.

Conjecture 1.2 *Let S be a surface whose genus is finite and at least 4.*

(1) $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ *is a characteristic subgroup of* $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ *and* $\mathrm{Map}^{\pm}(S)$.

(2) $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Map}^{\pm}(S)) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Map}(S)) = \mathrm{Map}^{\pm}(S)$

The first step in proving Theorem 1 is to distinguish finite- and infinite-genus surfaces via the algebraic structure of their associated pure mapping class groups. In order to do this, we investigate whether big mapping class groups are residually finite. As these results are of independent interest, we include Theorem 3 below as a summary of the results in Section 4. Recall that a group is *residually finite* if the intersection of its proper finite-index normal subgroups is trivial.

Theorem 3 *Let S be any surface.*

(1) $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ *is residually finite if and only if S has finite genus.*

(2) $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ *is residually finite if and only if S is of finite type.*

Note that the finite-type cases are handled by Grossman [20]. Using the structure of τ_w, we show that $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ is residually finite whenever S has finite genus (Proposition 4.1). We then introduce the infinite-stranded braid group B_∞, show that it fails to be residually finite (Corollary 4.3), and prove that it embeds in every finite-genus big mapping class group (Proposition 4.4). Thus, $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ is not residually finite when S is of infinite type and has finite genus. Proposition 4.6 shows that $\mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$, the subgroup of $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ consisting of mapping classes with compact support, has no finite quotients when S has

infinite genus. This fact is almost immediate from a theorem of Paris [31] and implies that when S has infinite genus, $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ and $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ are not residually finite.

The reason $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ of a finite-genus surface behaves differently than other big mapping class groups is the existence of forgetful homomorphisms to finite-type pure mapping class groups. We use these forgetful homomorphisms to build the topology τ_w on $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ mentioned above (see Section 3). Understanding basic topological properties of τ_w is the key to characterizing Dehn twists and understanding the residual properties of $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$. The construction of τ_w naturally leads to an inverse limit of finite-type pure mapping class groups, which is the completion (as uniform spaces) of the associated big pure mapping class group. This inverse limit viewpoint is thoroughly discussed in Section 7.

As Dehn twists and the topology of τ_w play a critical role in our understanding of $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$, it is natural to understand which of these properties hold in the more standard compact-open topology. Equipping the group of homeomorphisms of a surface with the compact-open topology, we give $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ the corresponding quotient topology. We let τ_q denote the restriction of this topology to $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$. Note that if S is of finite type, then τ_q is the discrete topology. From the definition of τ_w and the Dehn-Lickorish Theorem, it follows that Dehn twists topologically generated $(\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_w)$. We are also able to produce topological generating sets for all $(\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_q)$:

Theorem 4 *The set of Dehn twists topologically generate* $(\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_q)$ *if and only if S has at most one end accumulated by genus. If S has at least two ends accumulated by genus, then the set of Dehn twists together with the set of handle shifts topologically generate* $(\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_q)$.

1.1 Outline

In Section 2 we give the necessary background, which focuses on the structure of the space of ends of an infinite-type surface.

In Section 3, we define the topology τ_w, show it is Hausdorff (Lemma 3.1), and investigate the closure of the set of Dehn twists (Lemma 3.3), allowing us to characterize Dehn twists (Proposition 3.4). Section 4 is dedicated to investigating residual finiteness.

Given the results of Section 4, Section 5 focuses on finite-genus surfaces. In this section, we combine the characterization of Dehn twists from Section 3 and results regarding homomorphisms between finite-type mapping class groups to conclude the proof of Theorem 1 in Propositions 5.1 and 5.3.

In Section 6, we introduce the notion of a handle shift and prove Theorem 4. In Section 7, we discuss inverse limits of finite-type pure mapping class groups and finish the paper by showing the proper containment $\tau_w \subset \tau_q$ in Subsection 7.1.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Javier Aramayona, Ara Basmajian, Daryl Cooper, David Fernández-Bretón, Chris Leininger, Darren Long, and Scott Schneider for several helpful conversations.

The second author was supported in part by NSF RTG grant 1045119.

2 Background

2.1 Curves

A *simple closed curve* in a surface S is the image of a topological embedding $\mathbb{S}^1 \hookrightarrow S$. A simple closed curve is *essential* if it is not homotopic to a point, a boundary component, or a puncture in S; it is *separating* if its complement is disconnected and *nonseparating* otherwise. If c is a simple closed curve in S, then we let $[c]$ denote its homotopy class in S. Given two homotopy classes of simple closed curves $[c]$ and $[d]$, their *geometric intersection number*, denoted $i([c],[d])$, is defined to be

$$i([c],[d]) = \min\{|c' \cap d'| : [c'] = [c] \text{ and } [d'] = [d]\}.$$

Recent work of Hernández–Morales–Valdez [23] assures us that, just as in the finite-type setting, simple closed curves play an essential role in the study of big mapping class groups. In particular, they extend the Alexander method to infinite-type surfaces [23, Theorem 1.1], which yields the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1 ([23, Corollary 1.2]) *Suppose S is an infinite-type surface. If an element of $\mathrm{Map}^{\pm}(S)$ fixes every isotopy class of a simple closed curve, then it is in the center of $\mathrm{Map}^{\pm}(S)$.*

We will need one final notation: If $F \subset S$ is a subsurface, then we call F *essential* if it is connected and each component of ∂F is essential in S. If F is essential, then the inclusion $F \hookrightarrow S$ induces a monomorphism $\mathrm{PMap}(F) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{PMap}(S)$, where a homeomorphism of F is extended by the identity to a homeomorphism of S.

2.2 Ends

In order to work with infinite-type surfaces, it is essential to understand their space of ends, which we now define.

Definition 2.2 Let S be an infinite-type surface and fix an exhaustion $\{K_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of S by compact surfaces, that is, each K_i is a compact surface of S, $K_i \subset K_{i+1}$, and $\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} K_i = S$.

- An *end of* S is a sequence $\{U_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that each U_i is a connected component of $S \smallsetminus K_i$ and $U_{i+1} \subset U_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
- Define U_i^* to be the set of all ends that contain U_i, where U_i is a connected component of $S \smallsetminus K_i$. The *space of ends* of S, denoted $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$, consists – as a set – of the ends of S. It is equipped with the topology generated by sets of the form U_i^*.

The space $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ is totally disconnected, separable, and compact (see [1, Chapter 1, §36 & §37]); hence, it can be realized as a closed subset of the Cantor set. An end of S comes in two types:

- An end $\{U_i\}$ is *accumulated by genus* if U_i has infinite genus for all i.
- An end $\{U_i\}$ is *planar* if U_i is planar for all but finitely many i.

In addition, an end is *isolated* if it is an isolated point in the topology given above. We will use the word *puncture* to denote an isolated planar end.

Kerékjártó (see [34]) showed that the homeomorphism type of an orientable infinite-type surface is determined by the ordered quadruple

$$(g, b, \mathrm{Ends}_\infty(S), \mathrm{Ends}(S)),$$

where $g \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0,\infty\}$ is the genus of S, $b \in \mathbb{N}$ is the number of boundary components, and $\mathrm{Ends}_\infty(S)$ is the subset of $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ accumulated by genus.

An immediate consequence of the classification of infinite-type surfaces is:

Lemma 2.3 (Definition of $\overline{S}$) *When S has finite genus, there exists a unique – up to homeomorphism – compact surface, which we label $\overline{S}$, containing S such that $\overline{S} \smallsetminus S$ is homeomorphic to* $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$.

Given the definition of $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ it is clear that a homeomorphism of S induces a homeomorphism of $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$. As any isotopy must fix the ends of S, we see that there

is an induced action of $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ on $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$. The *pure mapping class group* $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ is the kernel of this action.

Proposition 2.4 ([8, Proposition I.1]) *Let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the unit disk and let Π be a totally-disconnected compact subset contained in the interior of $\mathbb{D}$. Given a homeomorphism $f\colon \Pi \to \Pi$ there exists a homeomorphism $\hat{f}\colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ and a regular neighborhood N of $\partial\mathbb{D}$ such that $\hat{f}|_\Pi = f$ and $\hat{f}|_N = id$.*

Observe that since a regular neighborhood of the boundary of $\mathbb{D}$ is fixed pointwise, it follows that $\hat{f}$ is orientation preserving. This yields:

Corollary 2.5 *If S has finite genus, then the induced homomorphism* $\mathrm{Map}(S) \to \mathrm{Homeo}(\mathrm{Ends}(S))$ *is surjective.*

3 The initial topology

For the entirety of this section, we require S to have finite genus. In this setting, we will introduce a topology on $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ that is suited to our algebraic study of the group. Define the set $\Lambda(S)$ to be the set of finite subsets of $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$, that is,

$$\Lambda(S) = \{\lambda \subset \mathrm{Ends}(S) : |\lambda| < \infty\}.$$

When it is clear from context, we will simply write Λ. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we define the surface $S_\lambda = \overline{S} \smallsetminus \lambda$. The inclusion $i_\lambda\colon S \hookrightarrow S_\lambda$ induces a homomorphism $\varphi_\lambda\colon \mathrm{PMap}(S) \to \mathrm{PMap}(S_\lambda)$. This homomorphism is the forgetful homomorphism, where one forgets all ends of S not in λ.

The *initial topology*, denoted τ_w, on $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ is the initial topology with respect to the family of maps $\{\varphi_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$, that is, it is the coarsest topology such that φ_λ is continuous for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. (We are viewing $\mathrm{PMap}(S_\lambda)$ with the discrete topology.) If at this point the reader is inclined to think about inverse limits, we discuss this viewpoint in Section 7.

For the rest of the section our goal is to present two key lemmas (Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3) regarding the algebraic structure of $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$.

Lemma 3.1 *τ_w is Hausdorff.*

Proof It is an easy exercise to show that left multiplication in $(\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_w)$ is continuous and hence a homeomorphism. To show the Hausdorff property, it is therefore enough to show that any point can be separated from the identity with open sets. By the definition of τ_w and continuity of left multiplication, it is enough to show that

$$\bigcap_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \ker \varphi_\lambda = \{id\}.$$

Let $f \in \mathrm{PMap}(S)$ be a nontrivial element. If f is central in $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$, then it is a product of Dehn twists about boundary components and hence the same is true for $\varphi_\lambda(f)$. In particular, f is not an element of $\ker \varphi_\lambda$ whenever $|\lambda| > 1$. Assuming f is not central, by Lemma 2.1, there exists a simple closed curve a in S such that $f(a)$ is not homotopic to a. Choose an essential finite-type surface $F \subset S$ so that $\mathrm{genus}(F) = \mathrm{genus}(S)$ and both a and $f(a)$ are contained in F. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ be such that the intersection of λ with each component of $\overline{S} \smallsetminus F$ is nonempty. It follows that $i_\lambda|_F$ is an embedding of F into S_λ as an incompressible surface; in particular, $i_\lambda(f(a))$ and $i_\lambda(a)$ are not homotopic in S_λ. It follows that $\varphi_\lambda(f)$ does not fix the homotopy class of $i_\lambda(a)$ in S_λ; hence, $f \notin \ker \varphi_\lambda$. □

The proof of Lemma 3.1 tells us that $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ inherits the residual properties of finite-type pure mapping class groups. In particular, Lemma 3.1 combined with the work of Grossman [20] implies that $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ is residually finite. This is discussed in more depth in Section 4. In addition, it follows from the inverse limit construction in Section 7 and Lemma 3.1 that $(\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_w)$ is a topological group (see Proposition 7.1).

The goal of the next key lemma (Lemma 3.3) is to detect Dehn twists in $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$. In the case of finite-type surfaces, the main idea behind Ivanov's results in [25] on the automorphisms of mapping class groups is to algebraically characterize Dehn twists. Given an automorphism preserving Dehn twists, one can then build a map on the collection of simple closed curves in order to determine the isotopy class of a homeomorphism. Building off the literature surrounding homomorphisms between mapping class groups, we take a similar approach in Section 5.

Given a simple closed curve a in S let $[a]$ denote its homotopy class in S and let $[a]_\lambda$ denote the homotopy class of $i_\lambda(a)$ in S_λ. For the sake of the argument below, we record an obvious lemma:

Lemma 3.2 *For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$*

$$i([a],[b]) \geq i([a]_\lambda,[b]_\lambda),$$

where a and b are any two simple closed curves in S.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the collection of Dehn twists in PMap(S) and let $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ denote the collection of Dehn twists in PMap(S_λ). The subgroup of PMap(S) generated by $\mathcal{D}$ is the group of compactly supported mapping classes, denoted PMap$_c(S)$. We will use this notation throughout the article.

Lemma 3.3 *The closure of $\mathcal{D}$ in τ_w is $\overline{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{D} \cup \{id\}$.*

We note that this statement also holds in τ_q; we give a proof in Section 6 (see Proposition 6.4). Additionally, the reader should be warned that the subtlety in the proof of the lemma lies in the fact that the stabilizer of an isotopy class of a curve is not open in τ_w. This failure for a stabilizer of a curve to be open follows from the proof of Proposition 7.6 and is demonstrated by the example illustrated in Figure 3, which shows an example of a (non-intuitive) sequence of Dehn twists converging to the identity.

Proof Fix an exhaustion $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots$ of S by essential finite-type genus(S)-subsurfaces. Observe, for later in the proof, that the direct limit of the groups $\{\text{PMap}(K_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is PMap$_c(S)$.

We first see that the identity is in the closure of $\mathcal{D}$. For each K_n in our exhaustion choose an essential simple closed curve b_n in S contained in the complement of K_n. The sequence $\{T_{b_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to the identity in τ_q as T_{b_n} agrees with the identity on K_n and $\{K_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an exhaustion. The containment $\tau_w \subset \tau_q$ (see Lemma 7.6) guarantees this sequence also converges to the the identity in τ_w.

As τ_w is not first countable when Π is uncountable (see Lemma 7.4), it is necessary for the remainder of the proof for us to work with nets as opposed to sequences; however, the reader will lose no intuition by replacing the word net with sequence.

Let I be a directed set and let $\{T_{c_i}\}_{i\in I}$ be a net in $\mathcal{D}$, where T_{c_i} denotes the Dehn twist about the simple closed curve c_i. Assume the net converges to $f \in (\text{PMap}(S), \tau_w)$. Further assume that f is not the identity, then we will show that f is a Dehn twist.

We first claim that f is an element of PMap$_c(S)$. Suppose not, then in particular f is not in the center of PMap(S) so there exists an essential simple closed curve a with $[f(a)] \neq [a]$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that both a and $f(a)$ can be homotoped into K_N. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > N$ we can find b_n homotopic into the complement of K_n such that $[f(b_n)] \neq [b_n]$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > N$, then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$ and where b_n and $f(b_n)$ are homotopic into K_m. Choose $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that λ intersects each component of $\overline{S} \smallsetminus K_m$.

Given the choice of λ, we have $[f(a)]_\lambda \neq [a]_\lambda$ and $[f(b_n)]_\lambda \neq [b_n]_\lambda$. It follows that $\varphi_\lambda(f)$ is nontrivial and thus a Dehn twist, call it T, as our net is in $\mathcal{D}$. By construction,

$$T([a]_\lambda) = \varphi_\lambda(f)([a]_\lambda) \neq [a]_\lambda$$

and

$$T([b_n]_\lambda) = \varphi_\lambda(f)([b_n]_\lambda) \neq [b_n]_\lambda.$$

Choose a simple closed curve c in K_m such that $T = T_{[c]_\lambda}$, then

- $i([c]_\lambda, [a]_\lambda) > 0$,
- $i([c]_\lambda, [b_n]_\lambda) > 0$, and
- $i([a]_\lambda, [b_n]_\lambda) = 0$,

where the first two inequalities follow from that fact that T fixes neither $[a]_\lambda$ nor $[b_n]_\lambda$. The last equality comes from the fact that a and b_n are disjoint combined with Lemma 3.2. A direct application of [16, Proposition 3.4] gives the inequality

$$i(T([a]_\lambda), [b_n]_\lambda) = i([c]_\lambda, [a]_\lambda) i([c]_\lambda, [b_n]_\lambda) > 0.$$

Another application of Lemma 3.2 yields

$$i([f(a)], [b_n]) \geq i([f(a)]_\lambda, [b_n]_\lambda) = i(T([a]_\lambda), [b_n]_\lambda) > 0.$$

As n was arbitrary, we see that $f(a)$ must exit every compact set of S; hence, it cannot be compact and f is not a homeomorphism.

It follows there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f \in \mathrm{PMap}(K_n) < \mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > n$ and K_n is contained in the interior of K_m. Choose $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that λ intersects each component of $\overline{S} \smallsetminus K_m$ nontrivially. We have that φ_λ restricted to $\mathrm{PMap}(K_n)$ is injective and induced by the inclusion $i_\lambda|_{K_n} \colon K_n \hookrightarrow \overline{S} \smallsetminus \lambda$; in particular, as $f \in \mathrm{PMap}(K_n)$ and $\varphi_\lambda(f)$ is a Dehn twist, we must have that f is a Dehn twist. □

As direct consequence, we give a characterization of Dehn twists. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_\lambda$ be the union of the Dehn twists in $\mathrm{PMap}(S)_\lambda$ with the identity, so $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda(\overline{\mathcal{D}})$.

Proposition 3.4 *$f \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ if and only if $\varphi_\lambda(f) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_\lambda$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.*

Proof The forward direction is trivial. For the reverse, assume $f \in \mathrm{PMap}(S)$ such that $\varphi_\lambda(f) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_\lambda$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ choose $T_\lambda \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ such that $\varphi_\lambda(T_\lambda) = \varphi_\lambda(f)$. This implies that the net $\{T_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges to f; hence, $f \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ by Lemma 3.3. □

4 Residual Finiteness

A group is *residually finite* if the intersection of all of its finite-index proper normal subgroups is trivial. As noted earlier, the work of Grossman [20] tells us that mapping class groups of finite-type surfaces are residually finite. As this property is inherited by subgroups it follows that pure mapping class groups are also residually finite.

In this section, we will prove Theorem 3 in a series of propositions. We break the section into two subsections handling the finite- and infinite-genus cases separately.

4.1 Finite genus

As a start, we properly record the result mentioned in Section 3:

Proposition 4.1 *If S has finite genus, then* PMap(S) *is residually finite.*

Proof Let $f \in \mathrm{PMap}(S)$ be an arbitrary nontrivial element, then by Lemma 3.1 there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $f \notin \ker \varphi_\lambda$. Further, since $\mathrm{PMap}(S_\lambda)$ is residually finite [20] there exists a homomorphism $\psi\colon\ \mathrm{PMap}(S_\lambda) \to G$, where G is a finite group and $f \notin \ker(\psi \circ \varphi_\lambda)$. □

As a slight change of persepective and for the remainder of this subsection, we will focus on the full mapping class group Map(S).

Let B_n denote the n-stranded braid group, which is also the mapping class group of the n-punctured disk. The classical presentation for B_n is given as follows:

$$B_n = \left\langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\sigma_i : |i-j| \geq 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq i,j \leq n-1 \\ \sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n-2 \end{array} \right\rangle$$

With this presentation we see that there are natural inclusions $\iota_{n,m}\colon\ B_n \hookrightarrow B_m$ whenever $n \leq m$. In particular $\langle B_n, \iota_{n,m} \rangle$ is a directed system of groups and we define B_∞ to be the direct limit. The presentation for B_∞ is immediate from that of B_n, namely,

$$B_\infty = \left\langle \{\sigma_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\sigma_i : |i-j| \geq 2 \text{ and } i,j \in \mathbb{N} \\ \sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1} : i \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right\rangle$$

We will proceed by proving that B_∞ is not residually finite and that it is a subgroup of every finite-genus big mapping class group.

Proposition 4.2 *Every finitely-generated quotient of B_∞ is cyclic.*

Proof Let $f\colon B_\infty \to G$ be a surjective homomorphism to a finitely-generated group. We can then find some N such that $f|_{B_N}$ is surjective. For $m > N$, σ_m commutes with every element of B_N; hence, $f(\sigma_m)$ is in the center of G for every $m > N$. From the relations, it is easy to see that if $f(\sigma_i)$ commutes with $f(\sigma_{i+1})$, then $f(\sigma_i) = f(\sigma_{i+1})$. It now follows that G is generated by $f(\sigma_1)$. □

The abelianization of B_∞ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$; in particular, it follows from Proposition 4.2 that every finite quotient of B_∞ factors through its abelianization. Thus the commutator subgroup is in the intersection of every proper finite-index normal subgroup of B_∞. This shows:

Corollary 4.3 *B_∞ is not residually finite.*

Proposition 4.4 *Let S be an infinite-type surface. If S has finite genus, then B_∞ is a subgroup of* $\mathrm{Map}(S)$.

In fact, Proposition 4.4 holds whenever S has infinitely many planar ends, but we will not use the full generality of the result in what follows.

Proof The proof splits into two cases: either $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ has infinitely or finitely many isolated points. Let us start by assuming that $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ has infinitely many isolated points. Together, the Cantor-Bendixson Theorem and Brouwer's topological characterization of the Cantor set imply that $\mathrm{Ends}(S) = C \sqcup P$, where C is a Cantor space and P is countable (see [27, Theorem 6.4 & Theorem 7.4]). It follows that the isolated ends of S are denumerable, so we can choose a labelling $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$ of the isolated ends. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $D_n \subset S$ be an n-punctured disk satisfying:

(1) $\mathrm{Ends}(D_n) = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$, and

(2) $D_n \subset D_{n+1}$.

It follows that D_n is an essential subsurface of S; in particular, the embedding $D_n \hookrightarrow S$ induces an injection $\mathrm{Map}(D_n) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Map}(S)$. Recall that $\mathrm{Map}(D_n) \cong B_n$. By the universal property of direct limits, the inclusions $D_n \hookrightarrow D_{n+1}$ induce an injective homomorphism $B_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Map}(S)$ as deisred.

Now assume $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ has a finite number of isolated points. Again applying the Cantor-Bendixon Theorem, we have $\mathrm{Ends}(S) = C \sqcup F$, where C is a Cantor space and F is the finite collection of isolated points. Let $D \subset \overline{S}$ be a disk such that C is contained

in the interior of D and F is in the complement of D. The work of Funar-Kapoudjian [17, Section 7] implies $B_\infty < \mathrm{Map}(D \smallsetminus C)$; thus, $B_\infty < \mathrm{Map}(S)$ as D is an essential subsurface. □

Combining Corollary 4.3 and Proposition 4.4, we have:

Corollary 4.5 *If S is a finite-genus surface of infinite type, then $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ is not residually finite.*

4.2 Infinite genus

In this subsection we will prove the remaining pieces of Theorem 3 involving infinite-genus surfaces.

Proposition 4.6 *If S has infinite genus, then $\mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$ has no finite quotients.*

This has an immediate corollary:

Corollary 4.7 *$\mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$ is perfect and does not virtually surject onto $\mathbb{Z}$.*

Mapping class groups of finite-type surfaces are known to be perfect [32] (except for some low-complexity cases). Motivated by Kazhdan's Property (T), Ivanov conjectured that mapping class groups of finite-type surfaces do not virtually surject onto $\mathbb{Z}$ (see [28, Problem 2.11.A]). (Equivalently, this says $H_1(G, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for any finite-index subgroup G of $\mathrm{Map}(S)$.) Putman-Wieland [33] have proven stability conditions for this conjecture; it would be fascinating if the stability could manifest into a statement about big mapping class groups.

As a second corollary, we have our desired result in relation to Theorem 3:

Corollary 4.8 *Neither $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ nor $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ is residually finite when S has infinite genus.*

The heavy lifting in the proof of Proposition 4.6 is done by a theorem of Paris [31], which says that when S is a finite-type genus-g surface, the minimal index of a proper subgroup of $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ is bounded below by a linear function in g. (The work of Berrick-Gebhardt-Paris [9] gives the exact value as an exponential function in g.)

Proof of Proposition 4.6 Let $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an exhaustion of S by essential finite-type surfaces, then $\operatorname{genus}(F_n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Let m_n denote the minimal index of a subgroup in $\operatorname{PMap}(F_n)$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} m_n = \infty$ [31].

Suppose N is a proper normal subgroup of $\operatorname{PMap}_c(S)$ with finite index k. As $\operatorname{PMap}_c(S)$ is the direct limit of $\{\operatorname{PMap}(K_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, we have that

(1) N is not a subgroup of $\operatorname{PMap}(K_n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (otherwise it would have infinite index), and

(2) there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\operatorname{PMap}(K_m)$ is not a subgroup of N for all $m > M$ (otherwise N would not be proper).

It follows that for $m > M$ the intersection $N \cap \operatorname{PMap}(K_m)$ is a proper normal subgroup of $\operatorname{PMap}(K_n)$ with index at most k. However, this implies that the sequence $\{m_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, a contradiction. □

5 Morphisms

The goal of this section is to finish the proof of Theorem 1 with Propositions 5.1 and 5.3. We then deduce corollaries about the outer automorphism group. The tools in this section combine the results on the initial topology in Section 3 with the literature on homomorphisms between mapping class groups (namely, [5, 15]). By Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.8, the algebraic structure of $\operatorname{PMap}(S)$ detects whether S has finite or infinite genus. For the remainder of the section, we focus on finite-genus surfaces.

Proposition 5.1 *Let S and S' be finite-genus surfaces. If the genus of S is at least 3 and strictly greater than that of S', then there is no monomorphism from* $\operatorname{PMap}(S)$ *to* $\operatorname{PMap}(S')$.

Proof Suppose S and S' are surfaces satisfying

$$\operatorname{genus}(S) > \max\{3, \operatorname{genus}(S')\}$$

and that $\psi\colon \operatorname{PMap}(S) \to \operatorname{PMap}(S')$ is a homomorphism. Let $F \subset S$ be an essential finite-type subsurface with $\operatorname{genus}(F) = \operatorname{genus}(S)$ and $\iota\colon \operatorname{PMap}(F) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{PMap}(S)$ the inclusion homomorphism. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda(S')$, we obtain a homomorphism $\psi_\lambda\colon \operatorname{PMap}(F) \to \operatorname{PMap}(S'_\lambda)$ defined by the composition $\psi_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda \circ \psi \circ \iota$. As F and S'_λ are finite-type and $\operatorname{genus}(F) > \max\{3, \operatorname{genus}(S')\}$, it follows from [5, Proposition

7.1] that ψ_λ is trivial. Further, as λ was arbitrary, we see that

$$\psi \circ \iota(\mathrm{PMap}(F)) \subset \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda(S')} \ker \varphi_\lambda$$

implying that $\iota(\mathrm{PMap}(F)) \subset \ker \psi$ by Lemma 3.1; hence, ψ is not injective. □

Continuing with our progress towards Theorem 1, we can now assume that if $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ and $\mathrm{PMap}(S')$ are isomorphic, then they have the same genus. We have one additional lemma before finishing the final proposition towards Theorem 1.

Lemma 5.2 *Let S and S' be surfaces such that their genera are equal and at least 4. If $\Psi\colon \mathrm{PMap}(S) \to \mathrm{PMap}(S')$ is an isomorphism, then Ψ preserves Dehn twists.*

Proof Let T_c denote the Dehn twist along a simple closed curve c. Choose an essential finite-type surface $F \subset S$ such that $c \subset F$ and $\mathrm{genus}(F) = \mathrm{genus}(S)$. The inclusion $F \hookrightarrow S$ induces a homomorphism $\iota\colon \mathrm{PMap}(F) \to \mathrm{PMap}(S)$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda(S')$ we define $\iota_\lambda\colon \mathrm{PMap}(F) \to \mathrm{PMap}(S'_\lambda)$ to be the composition $\varphi_\lambda \circ \Psi \circ \iota$. By [5, Theorem 1.1], the composition ι_λ is induced by an inclusion $F \hookrightarrow S'_\lambda$ (Note that this is where the lower bound on genus is required). In particular, ι_λ sends Dehn twists in $\mathrm{PMap}(F)$ into $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_\lambda$. As T_c is the image of a Dehn twist in $\mathrm{PMap}(F)$ under ι, we have that $\varphi_\lambda \circ \Psi(T_c) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda(S')$. By Proposition 3.4, $\Psi(T_c)$ is a Dehn twist. □

Proposition 5.3 *Let S and S' be surfaces. If the genera of S and S' are equal and at least 4, then any isomorphism between $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ and $\mathrm{PMap}(S')$ is induced by a homeomorphism.*

Proof Let $\Psi\colon \mathrm{PMap}(S) \to \mathrm{PMap}(S')$ be an isomorphism. Observe that the centers $Z(\mathrm{PMap}(S))$ and $Z(\mathrm{PMap}(S'))$ of $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ and $\mathrm{PMap}(S')$, respectively, are isomorphic, and therefore, S and S' have the same number of boundary components. By applying Lemma 5.2 to both Ψ and Ψ^{-1}, we see that Ψ restricts to an isomorphism from $\mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$ to $\mathrm{PMap}_c(S')$.

Let $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots$ be an exhaustion of S by essential finite-type surfaces with $\mathrm{genus}(F_n) = \mathrm{genus}(S)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall that essential surfaces are connected by definition. It follows that the direct limit of the groups $\{\mathrm{PMap}(F_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with respect to the natural inclusions is $\mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$. Since $\mathrm{PMap}(F_n)$ is finitely-generated, we see that there exists a minimal essential finite-type surface $R_n \subset S'$ such that $\Psi(\mathrm{PMap}(F_n))$ is contained in $\mathrm{PMap}(R_n)$. Observe that $\Psi(\mathrm{PMap}(F_n))$ is generated by Dehn twists along

a collection of curves Γ in S' and R_n is the minimal surface filled by this collection of curves.

The surface R_n must also be connected, otherwise $\mathrm{PMap}(F_n)$ would have a product structure. As $\mathrm{genus}(F_n) = \mathrm{genus}(S) = \mathrm{genus}(S')$, we have (again by [5, Proposition 7.1]) that $\mathrm{genus}(R_n) = \mathrm{genus}(F_n)$. Using the Alexander method and the fact that Γ is a filling collection of curves in R_n, we conclude that the centers of the centralizers of $\Psi(\mathrm{PMap}(F_n))$ and $\mathrm{PMap}(R_n)$ are isomorphic. Since S and S' have the same number of boundary components, we can now conclude that F_n and R_n have the same number of boundary components.

Let Z_n denote the center of $\mathrm{PMap}(F_n)$. By the construction of R_n, we have that $\Psi(Z_n)$ is the center of $\mathrm{PMap}(R_n)$. This implies that Ψ induces a monomorphism from $\mathrm{PMap}(F_n)/Z_n$ to $\mathrm{PMap}(R_n)/\Psi(Z_n)$. Observe that $\mathrm{PMap}(F_n)/Z_n$ is the pure mapping class group of the surface obtained by capping off the boundary components of F_n with once-punctured disks and the same is true for $\mathrm{PMap}(R_n)/\Psi(Z_n)$ and R_n. It follows from [15, Theorem 10] plus that fact that F_n and R_n have the same number of boundary components that they also have the same number of punctures; in particular, F_n and R_n are homeomorphic.

We can now apply [5, Theorem 1.1] to see that $\Psi_n := \Psi|_{\mathrm{PMap}(F_n)}$ is induced by an embedding $\psi_n \colon F_n \hookrightarrow R_n$; it follows from our discussion thus far that ψ_n is in fact a homeomorphism and Ψ_n an isomorphism. As $\Psi|_{\mathrm{PMap}_c(S)}$ is an isomorphism to $\mathrm{PMap}_c(S')$, it follows that $\mathrm{PMap}_c(S')$ is the direct limit of groups $\{\mathrm{PMap}(R_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. It also follows that $R_1 \subset R_2 \subset \cdots$ is an exhaustion of S' by essential finite-type surfaces; in particular, S' is the direct limit of the spaces $\{R_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with respect to inclusion. Note that S is the direct limit of the spaces $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ as well. Given the construction of ψ_n, we can build the following commutative diagram where the indexed i and j's are the natural inclusions and the natural numbers n and m satisfy $m > n$:



The existence of the unique continuous map $\psi \colon S \to S'$ is guaranteed by the universal

property of direct limits. If we repeat the above process starting with Ψ^{-1}, we see that ψ is the desired homeomorphism between S and S'. □

Observe that after the immediate application of Lemma 5.2 in the proof Proposition 5.3, the proof reduces to proving the analogous statement for $\mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$. The inspiration for this portion comes from [3, Proposition 9.1] in which the authors show that an automorphism of $\mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$ – in the special case where S has finite genus and $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ is a Cantor set – preserves Dehn twists.

As pointed out in the introduction, Corollary 2 is an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.3, that is,

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PMap}(S)) = \mathrm{Map}^{\pm}(S)/Z(\mathrm{Map}^{\pm}(S))$$

when $4 \leq \mathrm{genus}(S) < \infty$. We point out an alternative proof: By Lemma 5.2, an automorphism permutes the Dehn twists and hence induces an automorphism of the curve graph (two curves are disjoint if and only if their associated Dehn twists commute). In an upcoming paper [22], it is shown that the automorphism group of the curve graph is the extended mapping class group. One can then follow a – by now – standard argument to obtain Corollary 2.

As a corollary to Corollary 2, we see the topological structure of $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ becoming apparent in the algebra of $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$.

Corollary 5.4 *If S is a surface whose genus is finite and at least 4, then* $\mathrm{Out}(\mathrm{PMap}(S))$ *modulo its center is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Homeo}(\mathrm{Ends}(S))$.

Proof Corollary 2.5 tells us the homomorphism $\mathrm{Map}(S) \to \mathrm{Homeo}(\mathrm{Ends}(S))$ is surjective and by extension $\mathrm{Map}^{\pm}(S) \to \mathrm{Homeo}(\mathrm{Ends}(S))$ is also surjective. Note that if the boundary of S is nonempty, then the result follows immediately from Corollary 2 and Corollary 2.5 as, by our definition, $\mathrm{Map}(S) = \mathrm{Map}^{\pm}(S)$. For the remainder assume that the boundary of S is empty. It follows that there exists an order-two orientation-reversing homeomorphism fixing all the ends of S; let $f \in \mathrm{Map}^{\pm}(S)$ be such an element. It follows that

$$\mathrm{Map}^{\pm}(S) = \mathrm{Map}(S) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z},$$

where the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ factor is identified with $\langle f \rangle$. It easily follows from Corollary 2 and Corollary 2.5 that

$$\mathrm{Out}(\mathrm{PMap}(S)) = \mathrm{Map}^{\pm}(S)/\,\mathrm{PMap}(S) = \mathrm{Homeo}(\mathrm{Ends}(S)) \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

The corollary now follows from the fact that the center of Homeo(Ends(S)) is trivial. To see this simply note that if two elements of Homeo(Ends(S)) commute then they must have the same set of fixed points. As the stabilizer of every point in Ends(S) is nontrivial, an element in the center must fix every point and is thus the identity. □

Given Corollary 5.4, it is natural to ask if the isomorphism type of Out(PMap(S)) determines the homeomorphism type of Ends(S). This is a question about separable compact 0-dimensional Hausdorff spaces, which can all be identified as closed subsets of the Cantor set. We can then ask:

Question 5.5 *Does the autohomeomorphism group of a closed subset of the Cantor set determine its homeomorphism type?*

Note that there is an easy counterexample: if $E = C \sqcup X$ where X is a one-point space and C is a Cantor space, then Homeo(C) = Homeo(E). A slight modification of the question still stands, namely, is this the only counterexample?

Question 5.5 can be answered in the affirmative in many cases: Given a closed subset E of the Cantor set, let $\mathcal{B}_E$ be the collection of clopen subsets of E. As $\mathcal{B}_E$ is closed under finite unions, finite intersections, and taking complements, it has the structure of a boolean algebra. In fact, the identification $E \mapsto \mathcal{B}_E$ has a functorial relationship and one can naturally identify Homeo(E) with Aut($\mathcal{B}_E$). Translating results of McKenzie [29, Theorem 5] and Monk [30, Corollary 3.1] from boolean algebras to topology, it follows that if E is a closed subset of the Cantor set satisfying:

(1) E has at least two isolated points and

(2) the closure of the set of isolated points in E is clopen,

then the isomorphism type of Homeo(E) determines the homeomorphism type of E. Note that if E has less than one isolated point, then it is homeomorphic to either the Cantor set, the Cantor set disjoint union the one-point space, the one-point space, or the empty topological space (the first two have isomorphic homeomorphism groups as do the last two). Also note that the results of McKenzie and Monk mentioned above do not cover all the possibilites.

To conclude the discussion, we give a short proposition showing how algebraic conditions on Homeo(E) can manifest in the topology of E.

Proposition 5.6 *Let E be a closed subset of the Cantor set with infinite cardinality.*

(1) Homeo(E) *is simple if and only if* E *is homeomorphic to either a Cantor space or the disjoint union of a Cantor space and the one-point space.*

(2) Homeo(E) *has exactly two proper normal subgroups if and only if* E *is homeomorphic to the one-point compactification of* $\mathbb{N}$.

Proof Note that by Bouwer's topological classification of the Cantor set, a closed subset of the Cantor set has no isolated points if and only if it is a Cantor space.

If E is either a Cantor space or the Cantor space disjoint union the one-point space, then Homeo(E) is simple [2, Corollary 2] or [30, Theorem 2]. If E is neither of these two spaces, then E has at least two isolated points. The subgroup of Homeo(E) of homeomorphisms that have finite support form a proper normal subgroup; hence, Homeo(E) is not simple.

Let $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ denote the one-point compactification of $\mathbb{N}$, then Homeo($\overline{\mathbb{N}}$) $=$ Sym($\mathbb{N}$), the symmetric group on $\mathbb{N}$. It is a classical fact that Sym($\mathbb{N}$) has exactly two proper normal subgroups (see [36, Theorem 11.3.4]). Suppose that E is not homeomorphic to $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$. Given the discussion in the paragraph above, we may assume that E has at least two isolated points and more than one accumulation point. Let $X \subset E$ be the set of isolated points in E. Define

- N_1 to be the kernel of the homomorphism Homeo(E) $\to$ Homeo($E \smallsetminus X$),
- N_2 to be the subgroup of N_1 with finite support in X, and
- N_3 to be the subgroup of N_2 that can be written as even permutation of the elements of X.

We then have that $N_3 < N_2 < N_1$ are distinct proper normal subgroups of Homeo(E). (Note that if $E = \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, then $N_1 =$ Homeo(E) and N_2 and N_3 are the two proper normal subgroups alluded to above.) □

6 The compact-open topology

We begin with an alternative definition of the mapping class group: Equip Homeo(S) with the compact-open topology, then

$$\mathrm{Map}(S) = \pi_0(\mathrm{Homeo}^+(S, \partial S)),$$

where Homeo$^+(S, \partial S)$ is the group of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of S fixing ∂S pointwise. With this definition, Map(S) comes equipped with a natural

topology, namely the corresponding quotient topology, giving $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ the structure of a topological group. If S is a finite-type surface, then this is the discrete topology on $\mathrm{Map}(S)$ (one way to see this is as a consequence of the Alexander method [16, Proposition 2.8]). We set τ_q to be the corresponding subspace topology on $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that τ_q is Hausdorff; moreover, it is metrizable:

Proposition 6.1 $(\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_q)$ *is metrizable.*

Proof As $\mathrm{Homeo}(S, \partial S)$ is first countable and $\mathrm{Map}^{\pm}(S)$ is the image under an open quotient map, it follows that $(\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_q)$ is first countable. By the Birkhoff-Kakutani Theorem [10, 26], every first countable topological group is metrizable. □

Recall that for a finite-type surface, a combination of the Dehn-Lickorish theorem and the Birman exact sequence (see [16, Chapter 4]) tells us that $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ is generated by Dehn twists. In the infinite-type setting this of course cannot be true as $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ is uncountable; however, building off the result in the finite-type setting we are able to obtain topological generating sets for $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$. The remainder of this section is dedicated to proving Theorem 4.

Before we get to the proof of Theorem 4, we need to introduce a class of homeomorphisms contained in $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$. Consider the surface Σ defined by taking the surface $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$, removing the interior of each disk of radius $\frac{1}{4}$ with center of the form $(n, \frac{1}{2})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and attaching a torus with one boundary component to the boundary of each such disk. Let σ be the homeomorphism of Σ that behaves like $(x, y) \mapsto (x + 1, y)$ on the interior of Σ and tapers to the identity in a neighborhood of $\partial\Sigma$ (see Figure 1). One should think of σ as obtained by sliding the n^{th}-handle (in the disk with center $(n, \frac{1}{2})$) horizontally until it reaches the position of the $(n + 1)^{th}$-handle.

Given a surface S with at least two ends accumulated by genus, consider an embedding of Σ into S inducing an injection $\mathrm{Ends}(\Sigma) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ends}(S)$. The homeomorphism σ can then be extended to all of S by the identity. The homotopy class of the extension σ defines an element of $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$; we call such an element a *handle shift*.

Towards Theorem 4 we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 6.2 *The set of Dehn twists topologically generate* $(\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_q)$ *when S has at most one end accumulated by genus. If S has at least two ends accumulated by genus, then the set of Dehn twists together with the set of handle shifts topologically generate* $(\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_q)$.



Figure 1: Pictured here is the surface Σ. The arc $\sigma(a)$ is the union of the blue arc and the black arc outside the dotted lines. Inside Σ, the arcs $\sigma(a)$ and b are homotopic.

Proof Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the collection of Dehn twists in PMap(S). Since Dehn twists generate finite-type pure mapping class groups and $\mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$ is a direct limit of finite-type pure mapping class groups, it follows that $\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle = \mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$. In light of the finite-type results, we will assume S is of infinite type. When S has at least two ends accumulated by genus, let $\mathrm{PMap}_h(S)$ denote the subgroup of PMap(S) generated by Dehn twists and handle shifts.

Take $f \in \mathrm{PMap}(S)$ to be an arbitrary element. Abusing notation, we will conflate f with a representative homeomorphism. Fix a pants decomposition $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, \}$ of S labelled so that the surface $R_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_i$ is connected. Choose a component, call it a, of ∂P_1 and let F be a finite-type essential surface such that

- both a and $f(a)$ are contained in F,
- each component of ∂F is separating, and
- each component of $\overline{S \smallsetminus F}$ intersects Ends(S) nontrivially.

When S has at most one end accumulated by genus, we additionally require F to be such that

- at most one component of $S \smallsetminus F$ has positive genus.

By the classification of surfaces, the PMap(S)-orbit of a, up to homotopy, is determined by its partition of Ends(S), its partition of ∂S, and the topological type of its complements. (This is true for any surface and any simple closed curve in the surface.) Observe that the construction of F guarantees that a and $f(a)$ must induce the same partition of ∂F and Ends(F) as well.

First, if a is nonseparating, then both a and $f(a)$ are nonseparating in F, so there exists $g_a \in \mathrm{PMap}(F) < \mathrm{PMap}(S)$ such that $g_a(a) = f(a)$.

Now suppose a is separating. In the case where S has at most one end accumulated by genus, there is a component U of $S \smallsetminus a$ that has finite genus. Let $W = U \cap F$ and $V = f(U) \cap F$. By the construction of F, it follows that $\mathrm{genus}(W) = \mathrm{genus}(V)$, and therefore, the components of $F \smallsetminus a$ and $F \smallsetminus f(a)$ have the same topological type. In particular, there exists $g_a \in \mathrm{PMap}(F) < \mathrm{PMap}(S)$ such that $g_a(a) = f(a)$. In both of these cases, g_a is supported on a finite-type surface and hence is contained in $\mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$.

Since $g_a^{-1} \circ f$ fixes a and both f and g_a fix $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ pointwise, $g_a^{-1} \circ f$ restricts to a homeomorphism of each component of $S \smallsetminus a$. Let $b \neq a$ be another component of ∂P_1, and let S' be the component of $S \smallsetminus a$ containing b. We can then repeat the above process with S replaced by S' and f by $g_a^{-1} \circ f|_{S'}$. If P_1 has a third boundary component, then we repeat this process with this final component. In either case, we have built a homeomorphism $g_1 \in \mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$ such that $g_1(P_1) = f(P_1)$ and $g_1^{-1} \circ f$ fixes each component of P_1. By composing with Dehn twists about the components of ∂P_1, we can assume that $f_1 = g_1^{-1} \circ f$ restricts to the identity on $P_1 = R_1$.

Let S_2 be the component of $S \smallsetminus P_1$ containing P_2. Following the same reasoning as above and working in $\mathrm{PMap}(S_2)$, we can find a product of Dehn twists in $\mathrm{PMap}(S_2)$, call it g_2', such that $g_2'^{-1} \circ f_1$ is the identity when restricted to P_2. Choose an element g_2'' in the stabilizer of P_1 in $\mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$ whose restriction to S_2 agrees with g_2'. Defining g_2 to be the composition $g_1 \circ g_2'' \in \mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$ we have that $g_2^{-1} \circ f$ restricts to the identity on R_2.

Continuing in this fashion, we construct $g_n \in \mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$ such that $g_n^{-1} \circ f$ restricted to R_n is the identity. As R_n is an exhaustion of S, we see that $g_n^{-1} \circ f$ converges to the identity; hence, $g_n \to f$ as desired.

Now suppose that S has at least two ends accumulated by genus. Note that we may no longer be able to choose F to satisfy the fourth bullet point above. Let a be a separating curve with each component of $S \smallsetminus a$ being infinite genus and let U be a component of $F \smallsetminus a$. It is possible that $\mathrm{genus}(V) \neq \mathrm{genus}(W)$, where $V = U \cap F$ and $W = f(U) \cap F$. If this is the case, there exists a handle shift $h \in \mathrm{PMap}_h(S)$ where $h(a)$ induces the same partition of ∂F and $\mathrm{Ends}(F)$ as a and $f(a)$, and such that the genus of $h(U) \cap F$ and W are equal. Thus, there exists $g_a \in \mathrm{PMap}(F) < \mathrm{PMap}(S)$ such that $g_a \circ h(a) = f(a)$. Of course, $g_a \circ h \in \mathrm{PMap}_h(S)$, so we can now repeat the argument above to produce $k_n \in \mathrm{PMap}_h(S)$ such that $k_n \to f$. □

The next proposition shows that introducing handle shifts is essential when S has at least two ends accumulated by genus.

Proposition 6.3 *If S has at least two ends accumulated by genus, then* $\mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$ *is not dense in* $(\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_q)$.

Proof Choose a separating simple closed curve a such that each component of $S \smallsetminus a$ is infinite genus. Let f be an arbitrary element of $\mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$. Let F be an essential finite-type subsurface of S so that $f \in \mathrm{PMap}(F) < \mathrm{PMap}(S)$ and F satisfies the first three bullet points in the proof of Theorem 4. Let U be a component of $F \smallsetminus a$, then U and $f(U)$ contain the same components of ∂F. Further, $\mathrm{genus}(U) = \mathrm{genus}(f(U))$, so either $[f(a)] = [a]$ or $i([a],[f(a)]) > 0$.

Now, let b be a simple closed curve disjoint and homologous to a; in particular, a and b co-bound a compact surface with two boundary components. It is not hard to see that there exists a handle shift $h \in \mathrm{PMap}(S)$ with $h(a) = b$. Therefore, no element in $\mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$ can agree with h on the compact set a, since $b = h(a)$ and a are distinct and disjoint; hence, $\mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$ is not dense in $(\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_q)$. □

Propositions 6.2 and 6.3 together imply Theorem 4.

In Lemma 3.3, we gave the closure of the set of Dehn twists in τ_w. In the finite-genus setting, the analogous result holds for τ_q and is obtained by combining Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 7.6. Below we give a proof in general, regardless of whether the genus of S is finite or infinite:

Proposition 6.4 *The closure of $\mathcal{D}$ in τ_q is $\overline{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{D} \cup \{id\}$.*

Proof Let $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots$ be an exhaustion of S by essential finite-type subsurfaces. We first see that the identity is in $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ (which is a repeated argument from the beginning of Lemma 3.3). Let b_n be a simple closed curve contained in the complement of K_n, then the sequence $\{T_{b_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}$ converges to the identity in $(\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_q)$.

Following the proof outline of Lemma 3.3, we want to show that if a sequence $\{T_{a_i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}$ converges to a non-identity element $f \in (\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_q)$, then f is compactly supported.

Assume that $f \notin \mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$. It follows that there exists a natural number N such that for every integer n with $n \geq N$ there exists an integer i_n such that the curve a_{i_n}, up to homotopy, is contained in neither K_n nor its complement and intersects K_N nontrivially.

By possibly increasing i_n, we may assume that the curve a_{i_n} has nontrivial intersection with a single boundary component of ∂K_N, call it b. For each curve a_{i_n}, let b_n be a component of ∂K_n such that $i(a_{i_n}, b_n) > 0$. As the compact-open topology agrees with

the topology of compact convergence, there exists $J \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, up to homotopy, $f(b) = T_{a_j}(b)$ for all $j > J$. Without loss of generality, assume $J > N$ and apply [16, Proposition 3.4] to obtain

$$i(f(b), b_n) = i(T_{a_{i_n}}(b), b_n) = i(a_{i_n}, b)i(a_{i_n}, b_n) > 0.$$

It follows that $f(b)$ leaves every compact set; hence, it must not be compact and f cannot be a homeomorphism. Therefore, $f \in \mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$.

We can now find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that all but finitely many of the T_{a_i} are elements of $\mathrm{PMap}(K_m) < \mathrm{PMap}_c(S)$. The subspace topology on $\mathrm{PMap}(K_m)$ is discrete, so the sequence $\{T_{a_i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is eventually constant; in particular, f is an element of $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$. □

Note that from the above proof we see that a sequence in $\mathcal{D}$ converges to a Dehn twist in τ_q if and only if it is eventually constant. This is not the case in τ_w: Consider the curves a_n in Figure 3 with the modification that the curve a_n partitions off $\{0, 1, n\}$ in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. The sequence $\{T_{a_n}\} \subset \mathcal{D}$ would then converge to T_{a_1} in $(\mathrm{PMap}(\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})), \tau_w)$, but would not converge in τ_q.

7 Inverse limits

For the entirety of this section, S will be a finite-genus surface. To simplify notation, for $\lambda \in \Lambda(S)$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} G_\lambda &= \mathrm{PHomeo}^+(\overline{S}, \partial S, \lambda) \\ &= \{f \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(\overline{S}, \partial S) : f(p) = p \text{ for every } p \in \lambda\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \mathrm{PHomeo}^+(\overline{S}, \partial S, \mathrm{Ends}(S)) \\ &= \{f \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(\overline{S}, \partial S) : f(p) = p \text{ for every } p \in \mathrm{Ends}(S)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Equip $\mathrm{Homeo}(\overline{S}, \partial S)$ with the compact-open topology and equip G and each G_λ with the subspace topology.

Observe that $\mathrm{Homeo}(S, \partial S)$ and $\mathrm{Homeo}(\overline{S}, \partial S, \mathrm{Ends}(S))$ are isomorphic. Furthermore, it is clear that the compact-open topology on the latter group must be at least as fine as the former. However, the classical work of Arens [6, Theorem 3] tells us that the compact-open topology on the former group is the strongest admissible topology making it a topological group; hence, the two groups are topologically isomorphic. In other words, we may treat the ends of S as marked points.

The inclusion maps $\iota_{\lambda,\mu}\colon G_\mu \hookrightarrow G_\lambda$ whenever $\lambda \subseteq \mu$ makes $(\{G_\lambda\}, \{\iota_{\lambda,\mu}\})$ an inverse system. We can then set G_L to be the inverse limit and define $\iota_\lambda\colon G_L \to G_\lambda$ to be the projections. The initial topology on G with respect to the collection $\{\iota_\lambda\}$ gives G_L the structure of a topological group; this is the standard topology given to an inverse limit of topological groups. With this topology, we see that G_L is topologically isomorphic to the intersection of the G_λ, each endowed with the compact-open topology, so

$$G_L \cong \bigcap_{\lambda\in\Lambda} G_\lambda = G.$$

For the remainder of the section we will identify G and G_L.

As $\mathrm{PMap}(S) = \pi_0(G)$, we want to investigate whether $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ has the structure of an inverse limit. Similar to the above, the maps $\iota_{\lambda,\mu}$ induce forgetful maps $\varphi_{\lambda,\mu}\colon \mathrm{PMap}(S_\mu) \to \mathrm{PMap}(S_\lambda)$.

This defines an inverse system $(\{\mathrm{PMap}(S_\lambda)\}, \{\varphi_{\lambda,\mu}\})$ and we can take the inverse limit

$$L(S) = \varprojlim_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \mathrm{PMap}(S_\lambda).$$

Let $\pi_\lambda\colon L(S) \to \mathrm{PMap}(S_\lambda)$ be the projections defining $L(S)$. Giving $\mathrm{PMap}(S_\lambda)$ the discrete topology for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we equip $L(S)$ with the initial topology with respect to $\{\pi_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$. The universal property of inverse limits gives a continuous homomorphism $\Phi\colon (\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_w) \to L(S)$.

Proposition 7.1 *Φ is a topological embedding. Further, Φ is a topological isomorphism if and only if S is of finite type.*

Proof Lemma 3.1 implies that τ_w separates points and hence Φ is injective. It is clear from the definition that τ_w agrees with the subspace topology when $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$ is viewed as a subset of $L(S)$. Note that if S is of finite type, then $L(S) = \mathrm{PMap}(S)$, so for the remainder we may assume that S is of infinite type.

We now show that Φ fails to be onto. We will show this in the case $S = \mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \mathbb{Z}$; the general case can be ascertained by replacing each integer in $\mathbb{Z}$ with a clopen set of $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ in the general case.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let a_n be the boundary of a regular neighborhood of the line segment on the real axis connecting 1 and $n+1$ as in Figure 2. Let $T_n \in \mathrm{PMap}(S)$ denote the Dehn twist about a_n. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the sequence $\{\varphi_\lambda(T_n)\}$ is eventually constant, call this limit Dehn twist $T_\lambda \in \mathrm{PMap}(S_\lambda)$. It follows that the sequence $\{T_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\overline{T} \in L(S)$, where $\overline{T}$ has coordinates $\varphi_\lambda(\overline{T}) = T_\lambda$.



Figure 2: Represented here is the surface $S = \mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \mathbb{Z}$. The sequence of Dehn twists $\{T_{a_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges in $L(S)$, but not in $(\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_w)$.

We claim $\overline{T}$ is not in $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$; we proceed by contradiction. Suppose $\overline{T} \in \mathrm{PMap}(S)$ and conflate $\overline{T}$ with a representative homeomorphism. Let b_n be the boundary of the ball centered at the origin with radius $n + \frac{1}{2}$. Using Lemma 3.2 and a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we see that $i(\overline{T}(b_1), b_n) > 0$. It follows that $\overline{T}(b_1)$ cannot be compact, a contradiction. □

We point out the contrast between the pure homeomorphism group of S being an inverse limit and the pure mapping class group failing to do so. It is natural to ask if the topologies τ_w and τ_q agree as the compact-open topology and the initial topologies agree on the level of homeomorphism groups. Proposition 7.6 below tells that this also fails to be the case (when S is of infinite type).

Now recall that a topological group is *Polish* if it is separable and completely metrizable. Such groups have many nice properties and are studied extensively in descriptive set theory. Of particular interest is the work of Rosendal [35], which provides a theory of coarse geometry for nonlocally-compact Polish groups.

Proposition 7.2 *$L(S)$ is Polish if and only if the cardinality of $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ is countable.*

Before getting to the proof of Proposition 7.2, we separate out two lemmas that are interesting to us in their own right. Observe that by the definition of the initial topology and the Dehn-Lickorish theorem, the first lemma is immediate.

Lemma 7.3 *$L(S)$ is topologically generated by Dehn twists.*

Lemma 7.4 *If the cardinality of $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ is uncountable, then $(\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_w)$ is not first countable.*

The proof mimics the standard proof that an uncountable product of discrete topological spaces is not first countable.

Proof Suppose that $\{U_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a countable neighborhood basis of the identity in $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a finite set $\Lambda_n \subset \Lambda$ such that

$$V_n := \bigcap_{\lambda\in\Lambda_n} \ker\varphi_\lambda \subset U_n.$$

Therefore, $\{V_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a countable neighborhood basis for the identity.

Define $C \subset \mathrm{Ends}(S)$ such that $\lambda \subset C$ if and only if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\lambda \in \Lambda_n$. As C is countable, we can find $\mu \in \Lambda$ such that $\mu \cap C = \emptyset$ and $|\mu| \geq 4$. We claim that $\ker\varphi_\mu$ is a neighborhood of the identity not containing any of the V_n. We proceed by contradiction: suppose that $V_n \subset \ker\varphi_\mu$. Pick a simple closed curve c in S bounding a disk D in $\overline{S}$ disjoint from each $\lambda \in \Lambda_n$ and satisfying $|D \cap \mu| = 2$. The Dehn twist T_c about c satisfies $T_c \in V_n$ and $T_c \notin \ker\varphi_\mu$. Thus, there is no countable neighborhood base of the identity. □

Proof of Proposition 7.2 If S is finite-type, then $L(S) = \mathrm{PMap}(S)$ and has the discrete topology, so the result is immediate. Suppose now that $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ is countably infinite. Let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \Lambda$ be cofinal, so that

$$L(S) = \varprojlim \mathrm{PMap}(S_{\lambda_n}).$$

We can then define the metric $d\colon L(S) \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows: for any two elements $f, g \in L(S)$, set $d(f,g) = 1$ if $\pi_{\lambda_n}(f) \neq \pi_{\lambda_n}(g)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, otherwise set

$$d(f,g) = \min\{2^{-n} : \pi_{\lambda_n}(f) = \pi_{\lambda_n}(g)\}.$$

It is a routine exercise to check that d is in fact a complete metric and induces the initial topology on $L(S)$. Lemma 7.3 tells us that $L(S)$ is separable; hence, it is Polish. To finish the proof, Lemma 7.4 tells us that $L(S)$ is not metrizable if the cardinality of $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ is uncountable. □

Corollary 7.5 *If the cardinality of* $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ *is countably infinite, then* $L(S)$ *is homeomorphic to the Baire space* $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

(Another realization of the Baire space is the space of irrational numbers as a subspace of the real line.)

Proof First observe that $L(S)$ is a subspace of a direct product of discrete spaces; hence, it has a basis of clopen sets, so it is zero dimensional. Given that $L(S)$ is zero-dimensional and Polish, to show that $L(S)$ is homeomorphic to the Baire space it is enough to show that every compact set has empty interior; this utilizes the topological characterization of the Baire space by Alexandrov-Urysohn (see [27, Theorem 7.7]). As in the proof of Proposition 7.2, let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \Lambda$ be a cofinal subset. With this set up, the sets of the form $\pi_n^{-1}(g)$, where $\pi_n = \pi_{\lambda_n}$ and $g \in \mathrm{PMap}(S_{\lambda_n})$, give a basis for the topology of $L(S)$.

Let $C \subset L(S)$ be compact. Assume that C has nonempty interior, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in \mathrm{PMap}(S_{\lambda_n})$ such that $\pi_n^{-1}(g) \subset C$. As $\pi_n^{-1}(g)$ is closed and C is compact, we must have that $\pi_n^{-1}(g)$ is compact. But, then $\pi_{n+1}(\pi_n^{-1}(g))$ is compact as π_{n+1} is continuous. This is a contradiction as $\pi_{n+1}(\pi_n^{-1}(g))$ has infinite cardinality in a discrete space. □

Even when $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ is uncountable, $L(S)$, as an inverse limit of discrete spaces, has the structure of a complete uniform space [24, Exercise IV.8]. Hence, in either case, $L(S)$ can be viewed as the completion of $\mathrm{PMap}(S)$.

7.1 Comparing topologies

Recall from Lemma 7.4 that if the cardinality of $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ is uncountably infinite, then $(\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_w)$ is not metrizable, so it is clear in this case that $\tau_w \neq \tau_q$; moreover, we have:

Proposition 7.6 *If S is of finite type, then $\tau_w = \tau_q$; otherwise, there is a strict containment $\tau_w \subset \tau_q$.*

Proof We have already noted that when S is of finite type both τ_q and τ_w are discrete. Let us assume from now on that S is of infinite type. In the above notation, for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let $\psi_\lambda \colon G \to \mathrm{PMap}(S_\lambda)$ be the homomorphism factoring through G_λ. As ψ_λ is continuous for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have that the projection homomorphism $q \colon G \to (\mathrm{PMap}(S), \tau_w)$ is continuous. By the definition of τ_q, this shows that $\tau_w \subseteq \tau_q$.

By the remark preceeding the statement of Proposition 7.6, we may assume that the cardinality of $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ is countable. To finish the proof, we will find a sequence that converges in τ_w but not in τ_q. Let $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots$ be an exhaustion of S by essential finite-type surfaces each of whose genus agrees with S. Choose a sequence of



Figure 3: The sequence of Dehn twists $\{T_{a_n}\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ in PMap(S), where $S = \mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \mathbb{N}$, converges to the identity in τ_w, but does not converge in τ_q to any homeomorphism.

homeomorphisms $\tilde{g}_n \in G$ such that $\tilde{g}_n$ restricts to the identity on K_n, which implies that $\tilde{g}_n \to 1$ in G. If $g_n = q(\tilde{g}_n)$, then $g_n \to 1$ in τ_q; hence, in τ_w as well.

For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let n_λ be the largest integer n such that λ intersects each component of the complement of K_n in $\overline{S}$. In addition, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, choose an isotopy class of an essential simple closed curve in S, denoted by c_λ, such that c_λ is trivial in S_λ and $c_\lambda \cap K_{n_\lambda}$ fills K_{n_λ}. Let T_λ denote the Dehn twist about c_λ and define $h_\lambda \in$ PMap(S) to be $T_\lambda \circ g_{n_\lambda}$.

The map $\Lambda \to$ PMap(S) defined by $\lambda \mapsto h_\lambda$ gives a net in PMap(S). Now, the collection of sets of the form $U_\mu = \ker \varphi_\mu$ for $\mu \in \Lambda$ form a neighborhood subbasis for the identity in τ_w. If $\lambda \supset \mu$, then we claim $h_\lambda \in U_\mu$. First, observe that $K_{n_\mu} \subset K_{n_\lambda}$, so that $\tilde{g}_{n_\lambda}$ restricted to K_{n_μ} is the identity. It follows that $\varphi_\mu(g_{n_\lambda})$ is trivial. We also have that

$$\varphi_\mu(T_\lambda) = \varphi_{\mu,\lambda} \circ \varphi_\lambda(T_\lambda)$$

is the identity as $T_\lambda \in \ker \varphi_\lambda$ by definition. This shows that $h_\lambda \in U_\mu$ for all $\lambda \supset \mu$. In particular, the net $\{h_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges to the identity in τ_w.

We claim that this net does not converge in τ_q. Let

$$U = \{f \in \text{PMap}(S) : f = q(\tilde{f}) \text{ where } \tilde{f}(K_1) = K_1\},$$

then U is an open neighborhood of the identity in τ_q. Indeed, let V be an open regular neighborhood of K_1, then U is the image, under q, of

$$U(K_1, V) = \{\tilde{f} \in G : \tilde{f}(K_1) \subset V\},$$

which is a basis element for the compact-open topology on G. Further, as q is both a homomorphism and a quotient map, it is open; hence U is open. Now observe that $h_\lambda \notin U$ for any λ; this follows from the fact that given any simple closed curve $c \subset K_1$, we have $h_\lambda(c) = T_\lambda(c)$, which intersects ∂K_1 nontrivially. □

References

[1] Lars V. Ahlfors and Leo Sario, *Riemann surfaces*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 26, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1960.

[2] R. D. Anderson, *The algebraic simplicity of certain groups of homeomorphisms*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 955–963.

[3] J. Aramayona and L. Funar, *Asymptotic mapping class groups of closed surfaces punctured along Cantor sets*, Preprint at arxiv.org/abs/1701.08132 (2017).

[4] Javier Aramayona, Ariadna Fossas, and Hugo Parlier, *Arc and curve graphs for infinite-type surfaces*, Proc. of the AMS, to appear (2015).

[5] Javier Aramayona and Juan Souto, *Homomorphisms between mapping class groups*, Geom. Topol. **16** (2012), no. 4, 2285–2341.

[6] Richard Arens, *Topologies for homeomorphism groups*, Amer. J. Math. **68** (1946), 593–610.

[7] Juliette Bavard, *Hyperbolicité du graphe des rayons et quasi-morphismes sur un gros groupe modulaire*, Geom. Topol. **20** (2016), no. 1, 491–535.

[8] François Béguin, Sylvain Crovisier, and Frédéric Le Roux, *Construction of curious minimal uniquely ergodic homeomorphisms on manifolds: the Denjoy-Rees technique*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **40** (2007), no. 2, 251–308.

[9] A. J. Berrick, V. Gebhardt, and L. Paris, *Finite index subgroups of mapping class groups*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **108** (2014), no. 3, 575–599.

[10] Garrett Birkhoff, *A note on topological groups*, Compositio Math. **3** (1936), 427–430.

[11] Joan S. Birman, Alex Lubotzky, and John McCarthy, *Abelian and solvable subgroups of the mapping class groups*, Duke Math. J. **50** (1983), no. 4, 1107–1120.

[12] Danny Calegari, *Circular groups, planar groups, and the Euler class*, Proceedings of the Casson Fest, Geom. Topol. Monogr., vol. 7, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2004, pp. 431–491.

[13] ———, *Mapping class groups: the next generation*, Geometry and the imagination, https://lamington.wordpress.com/2014/10/24/mapping-class-groups-the-next-generation/, 2009.

[14] John Cantwell and Lawrence Conlon, *Endperiodic automorphisms of surfaces and foliations*, Preprint at https://arxiv.org/abs/1006.4525 (2010).

[15] Fabrice Castel, *Geometric representations of the braid groups*, Astérisque (2016), no. 378, vi+175.

[16] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit, *A primer on mapping class groups*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 49, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.

[17] L. Funar and C. Kapoudjian, *On a universal mapping class group of genus zero*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **14** (2004), no. 5, 965–1012.

[18] Louis Funar and Christophe Kapoudjian, *The braided Ptolemy-Thompson group is finitely presented*, Geom. Topol. **12** (2008), no. 1, 475–530.

[19] M. Gentry Durham, F. Fanoni, and N. G. Vlamis, *Graphs of curves on infinite-type surfaces with mapping class group actions*, Preprint at https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.00841 (2016).
[20] Edna K. Grossman, *On the residual finiteness of certain mapping class groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **9** (1974/75), 160–164.
[21] John L. Harer, *The virtual cohomological dimension of the mapping class group of an orientable surface*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), no. 1, 157–176.
[22] J. Hernandez Hernandez, I. Morales, and F. Valdez, Private communication.
[23] ———, *The Alexander method for infinite-type surfaces*, Preprint at https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.00407 (2017).
[24] J. R. Isbell, *Uniform spaces*, Mathematical Surveys, No. 12, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1964.
[25] N. V. Ivanov, *Automorphisms of Teichmüller modular groups*, Topology and geometry—Rohlin Seminar, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1346, Springer, Berlin, 1988, pp. 199–270.
[26] Shizuo Kakutani, *über die Metrisation der topologischen Gruppen*, Proc. Imp. Acad. **12** (1936), no. 4, 82–84.
[27] Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
[28] Rob Kirby, *Problems in low dimensional manifold theory*, Algebraic and geometric topology (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1976), Part 2, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1978, pp. 273–312.
[29] Ralph McKenzie, *Automorphism groups of denumerable Boolean algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **29** (1977), no. 3, 466–471.
[30] J. Donald Monk, *On the automorphism groups of denumerable Boolean algebras*, Math. Ann. **216** (1975), 5–10.
[31] Luis Paris, *Small index subgroups of the mapping class group*, J. Group Theory **13** (2010), no. 4, 613–618.
[32] Jerome Powell, *Two theorems on the mapping class group of a surface*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1978), no. 3, 347–350.
[33] Andrew Putman and Ben Wieland, *Abelian quotients of subgroups of the mappings class group and higher Prym representations*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **88** (2013), no. 1, 79–96.
[34] Ian Richards, *On the classification of noncompact surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1963), 259–269.
[35] Christian Rosendal, *Coarse geometry of topological groups*, Preprint at http://homepages.math.uic.edu/ rosendal/PapersWebsite/CoarseGeometry20.pdf (2016).
[36] W. R. Scott, *Group theory*, second ed., Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1987.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

patel@math.ucsb.edu, vlamis@umich.edu